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> Summary: At -55 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ adds to 4-[(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyloxy]-1-methoxy-2,3,6,7-tetramethylidenebicyclo[3.2.I]octane reversibly and selectively onto the s-cis-butadiene moiety at $C(2), \mathrm{C( } 3)$ giving a single regioisomeric sultine. At $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the cheletropic addition of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ ocurs selectively giving the monosulfolene attached at $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{C}(3)$.

Simple 1,3-dienes such as isoprene and ( E )-piperilene undergo hetero-Diels-Alder additions below $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and give the corresponding 3,6 -dihydro-1,3-oxathiin-2-oxides (sultines) with high regioselectivity. ${ }^{1}$ The sultines are unstable above $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and undergo cycloreversion liberating the starting dienes and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ that undergo cheletropic additions ${ }^{2}$ above $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ giving the corresponding sulfolenes. ${ }^{3}$ The Diels-Alder additions of the exocyclic tetraene 1 to strong dienophiles ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{X}$ ) such as ethylenetetracarbonitrile, dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate and maleic anhydride were not site selective and gave mixture of the corresponding monoadducts 2 and 3 together with the bis-adducts $4 .{ }^{4}$ No significant regioselectivity was noticed for the cycloaddition of 1 to methyl propyonate and methyl vinyl ketone. In contrast with these results, we report here that $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ adds to tetraene 1 with high site-selectivity for both its hetero-Diels-Alder and cheletropic additions, the s-cis-butadiene moiety at $\mathrm{C}(2), \mathrm{C}(3)$ being preferred over that at $\mathrm{C}(6), \mathrm{C}(7)$. Furthermore, in the case of the hetero-Diels-Alder addition $1+\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ only one single regioisomeric sultine was observed.


When a 0.2 M solution of 1 in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{SO}_{2} 7: 1$ ( $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ ) was allowed to stand at $-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~mm}$ sealed NMR tube) the monosultine 5 was formed slowly. After 2 days at $-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 50 \%$ of 5 was formed together with $<5 \%$ of sulfolene 6 and ca. $5 \%$ of an unknown compound the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of which was not consistent with an isomeric sultine or sulfolene. After 7 days at $-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, nearly $95 \%$ of 1 had reacted. At $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, sultine 5 underwent cycloreversion into $1+\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ that reacted slowly to give 6 which was obtained in $85 \%$ yield after 24 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cheletropic addition of a second equivalent of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ to give the bis-sulfolene 7 was a very slow process at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A good yield of $7(70-80 \%)$ was obtained when 6 was reacted with a large excess $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{SO}_{2} 1: 5(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . After prolonged standing at $0-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6$ did not equilibrate with an isomeric sulfolene. One cannot exclude yet that both 5 and 6 are preferred for thermodynamic reasons rather then for kinetic reasons. Because 7 decomposed on heating, we could not establish whether 6
was formed or not by elimination of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$.
The structures of $5,{ }^{5} 6$ and $7^{7}$ were deduced from their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra and with the help of double irradiation experiments. In the case of 5 the homoallylic coupling constants ${ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}$ between protons $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ on one hand, and protons $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(6)$ and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(8)$, on the other hand, ${ }^{8}$ allowed one to distinguish between pseudo-equatorial and pseudo-axial protons at $\mathrm{C}(3)$ and $\mathrm{C}(6)$. Strong NOE's were observed between the proton pairs $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(8) / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(9), \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(8) / \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}-\mathrm{C}(6)$ consistently with the conformation shown for 5 in the Fig. NOE was also observed between the olefinic protons and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(9)$ and MeO protons. The axial $\mathrm{S} \mathbf{O}$ moiety of 5 was assumed. ${ }^{9}$

The selectivities reported here for the reaction of 1 with $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ are striking; they rise a number of questions to which we hope to propose answers when further reactions of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ with polyenes analogous to $\mathbf{1}$ will have been examined.
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